

Communication from Public

Name: Destine Hughes-DeLeon

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 07:02 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote NO on Item 3

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 10:46 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. This is an attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Yvette Lozano

Date Submitted: 02/22/2022 11:30 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am OPPOSED TO ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Gloria G

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 04:06 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item #3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of hard working women, seniors and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote NO on item #3.

Communication from Public

Name: Xitlali Dumas

Date Submitted: 02/24/2022 01:13 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Nickan Nazari

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 05:54 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to Item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Discretionary policing policies are arbitrary and dangerous and serve only to hurt the community and unnecessarily increase the cost incurred by the city. This is transparently a lose lose situation. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Natalia

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 08:25 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to Item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors, and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors and undocumented people of color. There are other ways to address ADA issues and to use mobility as an excuse to criminalize the community is insulting. The city should instead listen to mobility advocates to implement policies that disabled people and disability advocates are asking for. I ask that you please vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Yisel Borges

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 08:31 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and will only result in the further criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility activists instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on ITEM 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Teva Gabis-Levine

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 09:27 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am strongly opposed to Item 3. Use city funds to widen sidewalks that are already in long term violation of ADA—not policing vendors who are a vital part of their underprivileged communities, and already under constant threat of immigration enforcement and physical violence. Vote NO on Item 3!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 09:45 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I STRONGLY OPPOSE ITEM 3! This is a direct attack on street vendors. Shame on you for pretending to care about Americans with disabilities when you have done absolutely nothing to help that community either. Pitting two marginalized groups against each other should be punishable by law and it is YOU who should be punished. Passing this will confirm what all of us always knew. YOU ARE INCOMPETENT.

Communication from Public

Name: Andrea Z Aponte

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 09:59 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. VOTE NO ON ITEM 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Marina RL Mautner

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 10:22 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to Item 3. It is a direct attack on street vendors and against California state law. I refuse to allow my city to criminalize hard working individuals who make our lives better because of your bigotry and classism. There are many other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to intersectional accessibility advocates instead of enacting methods that prioritize policing and subjective violence. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Madison Parsley

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 10:40 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Mariana Monasi

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 10:50 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 11:03 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am AGAINST Item 3, because it is a direct attack on street vendors and it will result only in the criminalization of people (particularly women, elderly, and undocumented people) who are just trying to survive. There are other, BETTER ways to address mobility issues and you should listen to disability advocates who know what they need instead of enacting trash methods that only increase policing and frankly help nobody.

Communication from Public

Name: Angel Mendez-Flores

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 11:25 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing in opposition to ITEM 3. It is a shame that the LA City Council is co-opting disability rights to criminalize street vendors, many of whom are disabled people themselves or the sole providers of disabled people. By giving more discretionary power to police officers, this bill will furthered criminalize people of color who make up this city and who are disproportionately targeted by police. It is possibility to advance mobility rights by listening to mobility advocates instead of propositions such as this one.

Communication from Public

Name: Andrea Alarcon

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 11:33 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to Item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, children and undocumented folks. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: GC

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 11:48 AM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility activists instead of enacting methods that only focus on enforcing policing & giving police further jurisdiction. It's already a given that police constantly abuse of their power around women, seniors, and undocumented communities, they don't need another reason to feel inclined to bully vendors who are only trying to make a living in a city that is already so cutthroat.

Communication from Public

Name: Kari Kalinich

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:21 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council members, Please support our street vendors and vote no. Support rules to allow safe pedestrian passage, encourage safe and nutritious food and to collect sales tax to help city finances. Do not Crack down harder on our street vendors in the name of safety. They increase micromobility in the city and should not be punished for safety concerns that should be resolved by other means. They can collaborate to create a safe environment and share the sidewalk. Our street vendors bring positivity to a city that is in need of them now more than ever. Sincerely, Kari Kalinich

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah Bowers

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:32 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: Vote no on this motion! This motion intends to create new criminal penalties for violating ADA just to target street vendors. You're using the proposal of "sidewalk safety" to circumvent state laws that protect vendors from criminal penalties and re-introduces misdemeanors for sidewalk vending. It will be up to the discretion of officers to decide if vendors are willfully violating ADA, and we know that officers discriminate against people of color. I am opposed to this Item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Ryan Egan

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:34 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: Vote no on item 3. This will just result in more policing of vendors and will put women, seniors, and undocumented people at risk. Work with mobility advocates to bring in solutions that are not more policing.

Communication from Public

Name: Paisley

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:45 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in strong opposition of Item 3 which is a direct attack on our local street vendors. These are people--largely women, elders, and undocumented folks--who are doing what they can to make a living in a city that actively and consistently persecutes them. There are other ways to address issues of ADA accessibility and also many examples of failures on the part of the city to make spaces accessible, which makes it difficult to believe this motion is truly about helping those with disabilities. Rather, it seems to be a veiled cover for the ulterior motive of criminalizing people just trying to work. Listen to actual mobility advocates to come up with actual solutions. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Pamela Cruz

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:49 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in criminalization of women, senior, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and we should listen to mobility advocotes instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Maria Rybert

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 12:58 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I'm opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Note no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Yanet Gutierrez

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 02:22 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3.

Communication from Public

Name: Melissa Moulton Church

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 02:46 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am OPPOSED to Item 3. If you care about ADA issues, consult with mobility advocates and find out what they actually want to see. This proposal will only result in harm to the members of our community who rely on street vending as a source of income. What this city does NOT need is more people unable to afford rent. Let these folks work. Street vendors are one of the best things about LA and it would be a tragedy to criminalize their livelihoods.

Communication from Public

Name: Alexa G

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 04:27 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to item 3. This is a direct attack on the street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors, and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enticing methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on item 3 please!!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/23/2022 05:23 PM

Council File No: 19-1334

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to ITEM 3. This is a direct attack on street vendors and it will only result in the criminalization of women, seniors and undocumented people. There are other ways to address ADA issues and you should listen to mobility advocates instead of enacting methods that only focus on policing. Vote no on Item 3.